## THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V. ESWARAIAH

**WRIT PETITION Nos.12131; 12325 & 12326 of 2007**

**DATED: 22-06-2007**

**W.P.No.12131 of 2007**

Acha Rajaram.

**...PETITIONER**

AND

The Collector and Additional Agent to Government of A.P., and
Project Officer, I.T.D.A.,
Adilabad,
Adilabad District & 3 others.

**...RESPONDENTS**

**W.P.NO.12325 OF 2007:**

Ankath Narayana.

**... PETITIONER**

AND

The Collector and Additional Agent to Government of A.P., and
Project Officer, I.T.D.A.,
Adilabad,
Adilabad District & 3 others.

**... RESPONDENTS**

**W.P.NO.12326 OF 2007:**

Kyatham Prabhakar Reddy.

**... PETITIONER**

AND

The Collector and Additional Agent to Government of A.P., and
Project Officer, I.T.D.A.,

Adilabad,
Adilabad District & 3 others.

**… RESPONDENTS**

**COMMON ORDER:**

Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as the learned G.P. for Social Welfare appearing for the respondents.

2. These two Writ Petitions have been filed by different persons belonging to Mediguda village, Jainad mandal, Adilabad District. Cases have been initiated against the petitioners alleging that they are in illegal possession of the land in contravention of the A.P.Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation,1959 and accordingly orders, dated
24-07-1998; 15-05-1997; and 25-01-2002, have been passed in L.T.R.Case Nos.TWA2/1118/96, TWA2/1174/84, and TWA2/1119/96 respectively ordering ejectment of the petitioners and to hand over the land in their possession to the Government custody. Aggrieved thereby, petitioners filed Appeal Nos. L.T.R/A4/05/1998; L.T.R/A4/17/1997; LTR/A4/340/2002 respectively before the 1st respondent, and the 1st respondent by orders, dated 02-01-2007;
19-06-2006; and 31-01-2007 dismissed the same. Questioning the said orders, these Writ Petitions have been filed.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that subsequent to filing these Writ Petitions, petitioners filed revisions

on 16-06-2007 against the aforesaid orders and the same are pending.

4. As the said revisions are pending, I am of the opinion that it is just and proper for the Government to consider and dispose of the same in accordance with law including the stay applications as expeditiously as possible.

5. Writ Petitions are accordingly disposed of directing the Government to consider and dispose of the stay applications as expeditiously as possible first and later on the revisions filed against the appellate orders. No order as to costs.

_____

**V. ESWARAIAH, J**

22nd June, 2007.
Tsy